

Date: Sat, 20 Mar 93 04:30:14 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #69
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sat, 20 Mar 93 Volume 93 : Issue 69

Today's Topics:

 public apology

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 19 Mar 93 12:35:17 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: public apology
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

 Someone (I only get the Newsgroup) posted to ham-policy

??BONG BONG BONG *****===ENGLISH LANGUAGE USAGE ALERT===***** BONG BONG BONG

??Steve KA0VYB writes:

??> their services. Irregardless of whether you are in the right or the
                    ~~~~~

??Sorry. No such word. Please restart.

          However, from the Webster's New Collegiate Dicionary

          irregardless - prob. blend of irrespective and regardless,  
                    nonstand: regardless

73 -- marty -- nr3z

-----  
Date: 19 Mar 1993 17:13:03 GMT  
From: topaz.bds.com!topaz.bds.com!ron@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <C41zro.H62@news.Hawaii.Edu>, <1o8mv1INNgbi@topaz.bds.com>,  
<1993Mar19.010354.2505@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>  
Subject : Re: Reduction of the code requirements

> The company had no problems with his use of the equipment since he was  
> a licensed U.S. amateur on a U.S. flag aircraft.

I thought there was a requirement that the equipment used for amateur  
transmissions be separate than that used for the official purposes.

-Ron

-----  
Date: 19 Mar 93 17:18:31 GMT  
From: topaz.bds.com!topaz.bds.com!ron@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <9303181525.AA08444@ucsd.edu>, <1oaa5bINNgnq@topaz.bds.com>,  
<1993Mar19.023008.15269@qualcomm.com>  
Subject : Re: No Radios on Airlines

> I'm well aware that GPS is still an "experimental" system.  
> Politically, I just don't see how they can deny its full capabilities  
> to civilian users much longer...

The FAA is actively pursuing GPS. I don't have any doubt that it will  
eventually replace most of the existing navigation systems. LORAN does  
not have the precision for replacing the existing Instrument Navigation  
system. It would be a nice back up to GPS for most things though.

The major thing that will drive the change as you have surmised is that  
it will end up being cheaper for both the FAA and the pilots to use GPS.  
Aviation GPS's, while not yet approved for anything, and hence sold only  
in small quantity are already cheaper than the VHF radios they will be  
replacing.

-Ron

-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #69  
\*\*\*\*\*